

Exploring network configuration in service ecosystems. Evidence from Southern Italy

Panetti Eva, Ferretti Marco, Parmentola Adele, Sabetta Annamaria

This paper aims to explore the characteristics of network structure in service ecosystems, by adopting a social network analysis approach. More specifically, our paper tries to investigate which network configurations – open, closed or small worlds- characterize service ecosystems and which type which types of actors hold a central position in service ecosystem network. In order to answer to our research questions, we take empirical evidence from six industry-specific service ecosystems located in the Campania Region (South of Italy) by conducting a social network analysis (SNA), by taking data from the official PONREC platform (Programma Operativo Nazionale "Ricerca e Competitività" 2007-2013) to map relationships between the actors in all systems. Our findings revealed that in service ecosystems, network brokering positions are mostly undertaken by academic institutions, suggesting the efficacy of Regional Government programs in stimulating inter-organizational cooperation among actors of different nature. Secondly, it emerged a tendency toward an open and small world network structure. Our work contributes to the strand of literature focusing on the relational dimension of service ecosystems by proposing an empirical and quantitative approach to the study of their relational dimension.

Keywords: service ecosystems; R&D relationships; social network analysis; South of Italy; S-D logic

References (max 1 page)

- Ahuja, G. (2000). Collaboration networks, structural holes, and innovation: A longitudinal study. *Administrative science quarterly*, 45(3), 425-455.
- Capaldo, A. (2007). Network structure and innovation: The leveraging of a dual network as a distinctive relational capability. *Strategic management journal*, 28(6), 585-608.
- Capaldo, A., & Petruzzelli, A. M. (2014). Partner geographic and organizational proximity and the innovative performance of knowledge-creating alliances. *European Management Review*, 11(1), 63-84.
- Casanueva, C., Castro, I., & Galán, J. L. (2013). Informational networks and innovation in mature industrial clusters. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(5), 603-613.
- Russell, M. G., Huhtamäki, J., Still, K., Rubens, N., & Basole, R. C. (2015). Relational capital for shared vision in innovation ecosystems. *Triple Helix*, 2(1), 1-36.
- Russell, M. G., Still, K., Huhtamäki, J., Yu, C., & Rubens, N. (2011). Transforming innovation ecosystems through shared vision and network orchestration. In *Triple Helix IX International Conference*. Stanford, CA, USA.
- Salavisa, I., Sousa, C., & Fontes, M. (2012). Topologies of innovation networks in knowledge-intensive sectors: Sectoral differences in the access to knowledge and complementary assets through formal and informal ties. *Technovation*, 32(6), 380- 399.
- Still, K., Huhtamäki, J., Russell, M. G., & Rubens, N. (2014). Insights for orchestrating innovation ecosystems: the case of EIT ICT Labs and data-driven network visualisations. *International Journal of Technology Management* 23, 66(2-3), 243-265.